

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 14-153 CRB
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT APK 0 4 2014
BILLY SHAVE BANNING Defendant.) RICHARD W. WIEKING (CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
peedy Trial Act from	d on
Failure to grant a continuance wou See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ald be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to ex	lex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of e prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial shed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ald deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	ald unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mmitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
,	ald unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 4 4 11	
STIPULATED:	JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney
	B TAZKACC